REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to call for initiating from the base station a downloading of interface software when the mobile unit accesses the base station.

The cited reference to Phillips talks about downloading software from a base station controller 13. In other words, a software download is not from the base station to the mobile station but, instead, is from the base station controller to the base station. See column 3, lines 55-64.

Further, in column 4, lines 20-27, it is mentioned that some or all of the mobile terminals may be programmable via software downloaded over the air interface from the mobile network via the base station in response to a request from a terminal. However, claim 1 calls for initiating the downloading from the base station, not from the terminal. Therefore, claim 1 patentably distinguishes over the cited reference.

Pending claims 12 and 23 call for automatically downloading interface software when the mobile unit accesses the base station. Downloading in response to a request from the mobile station would not correspond to automatically downloading when the mobile station accesses the base station.

Therefore, reconsideration of the rejections of the claims as amended is respectfully requested. The objection to the word "substantially" has been cured.

Therefore, the application should now be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 23, 2006

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation

5